UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P'O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			_,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,143	12/23/2003	Thomas M. Schaub	11884 / 406701	7478
23838 KENYON & K	7590 10/02/2007 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			SEE, CAROL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,143	SCHAUB ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carol See	3609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
	DIVIO OET TO EVOIDE AN	IONTHYON OF THEFTY (OC) TANKS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 December 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2003</u> i		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
	SUP	KHOI H. TRAN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)		1. WW			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 3609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention that encompasses claims 6-21 in the telephone conversation on 9/20/2007 is acknowledged.

2. During a telephone conversation with attorney attorney Gregory Grace on 9/20/2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention as claimed in claims 6-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-5 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 6-16 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 6, line 3: The acronym AVC should be written out, at least the first time it is referenced in the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3609

5. Claims 6 - 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park (U.S. 6,058,375).

As to claim 6, Park discloses an enterprise management system, comprising: a transaction manager to receive new revenue transactions posted by an organization (col. 22, lines 11-17 and col. 23, lines 7-12 and 36-47),

an AVC manager, responsive to a new revenue transaction to execute an AVC rule represented by a control object, the AVC rule causing a comparison of previously-posted revenue of the organization and revenue of the new transaction with a revenue budget and, if the comparison fails a relationship defined for the AVC rule, causing the transaction manager to reject the new revenue transaction (col. 32, line 47 through col. 33, line 36, that shows summing of transaction data (previous and new); balancing principles as rules to determine whether a transaction is rejected; comparison with an income amount, i.e., revenue budget).

As to claim 7, Park discloses all elements of claim 6. Park further shows an AVC ledger comprising a database storing control objects and aggregations of revenue postings and revenue budget values that are operands to the control objects (col. 35, lines 1-9).

As to claim 8, Park discloses an enterprise management system, comprising: a transaction manager to receive new transactions that revise previously stored revenue budget values (col. 23, lines 7-12 and 36-47),

an AVC manager, responsive to a new budget-revising transaction to execute an AVC rule represented by a control object, the AVC rule causing a comparison of

Art Unit: 3609

previously-posted revenue values with revenue budget values including the revenue budget of the new transaction and, if the comparison fails a relationship defined for the AVC rule, causing the transaction manager to reject the new budget-revising transaction (col. 33, line 47 through col. 34, line 47).

As to claim 9, Park discloses all elements of claim 8. Park further discloses an AVC ledger comprising a database storing control objects and aggregations of revenue postings and revenue budget values that are operands to the control objects (col. 35, lines 1-10).

As to claim 10, Park discloses an AVC method, comprising:

responsive to a proposed postings of revenue or of revenue budget, determining whether any control objects address the proposed posting (col. 32, lines 52-60),

executing AVC rules represented by each identified control object (col. 32, lines 58-60), and

if any AVC rule is violated by the posting and if the AVC rule identifies an error as a response thereto, blocking the posting from being admitted (col. 33, lines 1-6 and col. 35, lines 4-9).

As to claim 11, Park discloses all elements of claim 10. Park further discloses executing comprising:

generating a postings operand from an aggregation of previously-admitted postings values addressed by the control object (col. 32, lines 52-60),

generating a budget operand from an aggregation of revenue budget values

Art Unit: 3609

addressed by the control object, the aggregation revised by the proposed posting (col. 32, lines 52-60), and

determining whether the postings operand and the budget operand satisfy a test relationship specified for the control object (col. 32, lines 52-60).

As to claim 12, Park shows all elements of claim 10. Park further discloses executing comprising:

generating a postings operand from an aggregation of previously-admitted postings values addressed by the control object and from a new revenue posting value (col. 32, lines 30-35),

generating a budget operand from an aggregation of revenue budget values addressed by the control object (col. 34, lines 40-44), and

determining whether the postings operand and the budget operand satisfy a test relationship specified for the control object (col. 32, lines 52-60).

As to claim 13, Park discloses all elements of claim 12. Park further discloses storing the postings operand in a ledger storage device associated with the control object (col. 32, lines 52-65).

As to claim 14, Park discloses all elements of claim 12. Park further discloses. storing the budget operand in a ledger storage device associated with the control object (col. 32, lines 52- 65).

As to claim 15, Park discloses all elements of claim 10. Park further discloses performing the determining, the executing and, if necessary the blocking for each of a plurality of AVC rule sets in an enterprise management system (col. 33, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 3609

As to claim 16, the limitations of claim 16 closely parallel claim 10 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 10 is directed toward method steps and claim 16 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 10.

As to claim 17, Park discloses all elements of claim 16. The additional limitations of claim 17 closely parallel claim 11 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 11 is directed toward method steps and claim 17 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 11.

As to claim 18, Park discloses all elements of claim 16. The additional limitations of claim 18 closely parallel claim 12 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 12 is directed toward method steps and claim 17 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 11.

As to claim 19, Park discloses all elements of claim 16. The additional limitations of claim 19 closely parallel claim 13 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 13 is directed toward method steps and claim 19 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 13.

As to claim 20, Park discloses all elements of claim 16. The additional limitations of claim 20 closely parallel claim 14 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 14 is directed toward method steps

Art Unit: 3609

and claim 20 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 14.

As to claim 21, Park discloses all elements of claim 16. The additional limitations of claim 21 closely parallel claim 15 and therefore are rejected under the same rationale, as being unpatentable over Park. Claim 15 is directed toward method steps and claim 21 is directed toward a computer means for accomplishing those method steps as set forth in claim 15.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol See whose telephone number is (571) 272-9742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:45 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on (571) 272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3609

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carol See Patent Examiner Art Unit 3609

KHOI H. TRAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER